



**MINUTES OF THE 106th MEETING OF
MAPPLEBOROUGH GREEN PARISH COUNCIL
HELD ON Monday September 20th 2021
at 7:00pm, via Zoom and in person**

PRESENT in person : Cllrs Coley, Badwal and Chadwick and District Cllr Peter Hencher-Serafin
Becky Howes Clerk
via Zoom : Cllrs Berry, Lyon and County Cllr Justin Kerridge

1. Chairman declared the meeting open at 7:05pm
2. Acceptance of apologies for absence - none
3. Declarations of Interest - none
4. Public Forum –

7 members of the public were present on Zoom.

- Speaker Gary Spencer re Chapel Farm development, Haye Lane

Mr Spencer introduced himself and his son who was present (and a son was present but not visible), stating that between them they run MNG Homes, who buy land and build new homes, and recently became the new owners of Chapel Farm, Haye Lane. Mr Spencer stated that they wish to retain the farm and reinstate it to its original condition, which is the long-term plan. The purpose for attending the meeting was to share the plans of the farm with the Parish Council, and that an email previously sent also gave a background of who they are and what the intentions for the site are. Mr Spencer stated that they are not just going to build and disappear and that one of his sons will live in the farm and that his other son has recently moved into the area.

Mr Spencer then went on to discuss his frustrations with the planning process and the National Planning Policy Framework, in which planning offices/ers should be, he quoted, positive, creative, proactive, and work on permission in principle. Mr Spencer explained that the site came with outline planning permission and the only amendments to it that he was looking to make were to extend the garden, in keeping with surrounding properties, however he was told that they could not do it under the outlined planning permission and it would require a brand new application, which they proceeded to do and then received an email from the delegated case officer who informed them that she was likely to refuse the application, with the choice of withdrawal. Mr Spencer stated that the majority of comments on the portal regards the application were positive, apart from one which referred to the neighbouring development and so therefore he was bemused by the case officers implied decision. He stated that one of the case officers reasons for an impending refusal was due to the size of the properties in comparison with those being built next door, however after measuring Mr Spencer confirmed that the proposed properties were actually smaller. He also stated that he had contacted the local MP, who subsequently had invited Mr Spencer et al to be part of the planning reforms that are coming up and to have a voice in that arena. Mr Spencer had also informed the planning office the day of the meeting and stressed that if no response is received, as expected, from the planning officer then he would have to make employees redundant and if forced to submit a new application, which seems likely, it is going to take another 3 months and if granted would mean building during winter, which is not ideal. Mr Spencer also stated that if a new application is submitted, he hoped that the Parish Council would consider it favourably. He also stated that they had spoken with neighbours in view of the farmhouse, and they generally seem to be pleased. Mr Spencer also stressed that a substantial amount of money

had been earmarked for hedges, wildflower planting and for a new pond and that they want to make a positive contribution to the area and the company's unwritten mantra is that they build and bring the unexpected into the communities they build in. Mr Spencer stated that the Parish Council is free to visit the site at any time.

After questions from the Parish Council Mr Spencer confirmed that MNG were not building the neighbouring bungalows and that was a different builder.

Cllr Coley gave a brief history of the site and the past requests for the street scene to remain intact, to which Mr Spencer agreed with and stated that MNG only want to build bungalows on the site. He also stated that they are not dormer bungalows and that there is just a feature window on the front to break up the gable. Cllr Coley also asked about a need to install attic trusses, which Mr Spencer and his son stated no, saying 'they do not have to'. Mr Spencer confirmed that the outline planning permission given strictly reserves the fact that they have to be bungalows and there cannot be accommodation in the roof. Cllr Coley confirmed that they must be in keeping with the street scene of the other properties in the lane, however Cllr Coley did stress his concern regards what appeared to be coming away from the building line and that the development appears to be coming right back away from that. Mr Spencer jnr stated that the development is in line with the garages of the bungalows that are currently being built for unit one, which falls 10 metres back in line with other properties in the road and unit two is pulled back to the existing chapel (now known as Field View) that was converted years ago, which sits forward to the bungalow to the right of it. Mr Spencer confirmed that Field View is forward of the building line anyway is because it was formerly a chapel and chapels at the time didn't need to take into account the building line, but all other bungalows are in line. Mr Spencer stated that they had spoken to the owners of Field View who have seen the plans and are more than happy with the position of the bungalow that was going to be next to them and therefore assumed that the Planning Officer would not see any problems. The discussion then turned regards the planning system and experiences of the planning process. Mr Spencer added that advice received from the Planning Officer was to take into account the planning permissions of the two developments next door, which they have done with regards to height, width and depth, with no significant differences and so Mr Spencer said it was hard to understand the refusal. Mr Spencer had suggested lowering the height, sizes, the look of the bungalows etc...but were still rejected and so are having to resubmit another application but are going in blind. Mr Spencer jnr stated the submission is very similar to the application submitted for the neighbouring property, same bricks as the existing farm and barn that's there to try and tie those four buildings together etc.. Even the architect was bewildered and didn't know what to apply for going forward, with no guidance from the Planning Officer. Cllr Berry interjected with her sympathy regards the situation and Mr Spencer added that he would have to make members of the business workforce redundant because the project has fallen through. Cllr Hencher-Serafin as District Councillor also added that he had been liaising with the Planning Officer but he is struggling to get a response the last few days, however she had confirmed that the Parish Council had been consulted and made no representation and that she had discussed the proposals with her manager and they have determined that they are unable to support the application due to design concerns. Mr Spencer added that the consultation period for the current application for the two bungalows is now over and the opportunity to comment has ended, if however, a brand new application is submitted that could take approximately 8 weeks to be verified and 4-5 weeks before the consultation period is over and that the Parish Council is unlikely to meet again for a couple of months, the meeting may well fall beyond the consultation period again.

Cllr Berry then questioned the District Councillor regards the forthcoming committee date where this application will be heard, but he was unsure of a date and stated that the Planning Officer was due to meet with Mr Spencer and his colleagues and they had confirmed they would make the site safe for her visit, no machines in use etc., but apparently the Officer came unannounced and did not leave her vehicle, which in his opinion is not a site visit. Mr Spencer added that apparently at the moment the application is with the delegated officer, who does not have to take it to committee, they can simply refuse it, especially since the Parish Council had made no comment, therefore there is no requirement for it to go to planning committee. The discussion then ensued regards referrals to planning committee and the applicants having an opportunity to speak to the committee and make their representations. Mr Spencer added that if the proposal was not suitable and is refused that's ok and they would amend and resubmit, but they were given two options, accept refusal or withdraw the application. He stated that according to the National Planning Framework document it states that local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed developments in a positive and creative way. Accept the refusal or withdraw, Mr Spencer believes, is not a creative way. He added that the document states that they should use the full range of planning

tools available, including brownfield, registers, permissions in principle and work proactively with applicants to acquire developments that will improve the economic, social and environmental conditions.

Cllr Coley brought the discussion to an end due to time constraints, stating that the Parish Council were in agreement with Mr Spencer and thanked him for attending.

- Update re. Neighbourhood Plan

Ann Bicknell addressed the Parish Council with an update regards the Neighbourhood Plan progression, stating that it had previously been agreed that progression could take place regards the development of a NHP and that any plan will have to be in conjunction with the current, umbrella plan and consultation happening within South Warwickshire, and it makes sense to follow that. The South Warwickshire merger of Councils is now open for consultation, which has to be reported on in December. If it goes ahead, it will take a year to roll through. Ann asked if the Parish Council were and how intending to respond, as we can as residents do it individually, but will the Parish Council also respond and if so, it's a great opportunity to 'warm up' everybody for the NHP consultation and suggest a leaflet campaign. Ann recommended everyone read the consultation document, as its only 10 pages and very readable and personally on balance she is in favour of the merger because anything that reduces the hidden transparency is a good thing and previous mergers have halved the number of people employed in these authorities as part of the merger and proposed financial savings also come in to affect and more efficient service provision. Ann added that although the consultation quantitative questioning is weak it does give opportunities for feedback, which is a great opportunity to register all complaints about the poor service the Parish Council and residents feel they receive, and how they want to see improvements. If required Ann suggested that she and fellow resident Annette, could arrange a leaflet campaign if needed. Cllr Coley added that the Parish Council were going to meet to consider all of the current consultations in further detail.

Ann also briefly discussed the need for an improved, more interactive website, especially regards to obtaining feedback from residents when carrying out surveys for the NHP and is there anything that could be done to administer that and to have a more dynamic communication channel.

Cllr Coley raised his concern regards the time and effort to produce a NHP in terms of the possible merger and asked would it still be considered going forward. Ann responded stating that there is no rush to do a NHP because it makes sense to follow the outcomes of the merger consultation and any plan will need to be commensurate with that. The NHP will then be future proofed by keeping at the same pace of the consultation and potential merger plan. She also added that we know what the NHP is going to look like, it will be small, it will have certain chapters because we've looked at others, we've spoken to the planning consultant, we know what we need to do. The website will be useful in communicating in and out to residents and enable the engagement of the community. Cllr Coley thanked Ann for her support and feedback.

Resident Carolyn Lewis also addressed the Parish Council and asked if there had been any progress regards the field behind Pratts Lane and the A435 (known as Mike Greens field, Moat House). Cllr Coley recapped the historical situation regards the field for those present in the meeting and confirmed that the Parish Council has not heard anything since the last proposal of a 40+ property development. As far as Cllr Coley is aware the field has not been sold.

Cllr Coley thanked Carolyn and gave the Chairs dispensation for Carolyn to speak later on the agenda with regards to Highway's update, as Carolyn is an active resident regards highway issues and improvements.

5.To Approve the Minutes of the 105th meeting of the Parish Council held on Monday June 28th 2021

Cllr Chadwick proposed the minutes, Cllr Coley seconded, all agreed and Cllr Coley signed as a true record.

6. District & County Councillors Reports:

County councillor report to Mappleborough Green Parish council via email :

Hedge opposite village hall by layby: trimmed back by WCC.

Gullies around Dog island and up Birmingham road: cleared by WCC. Cllr Berry added that the drains had been done up to the traffic lights but no further. Cllr Berry had spoken with the engineers on site who confirmed that the drains couldn't have been cleared for a number of years as there was no water getting through at all. Cllr Kerridge agreed to feedback the comments made and requested that the remaining drain issues are reported back on the portal.

Resident Carolyn Lewis also spoke through the Chair and stated that work had also been carried out in Pratts Lane, which had been implemented by the previous locality officer and was waiting for a report from the current officer, but with no joy. Carolyn also stated that she had been told that the issues around The Dog Island were not listed on the portal, even though the issue had been reported and following a meeting with MP Zahawi all those involved had a copy of the meeting minutes, together with Flood Risk Management Team for Worcestershire and Warwickshire, who are aware of the issues.

Carolyn stressed that there are failings of the portal, reports have not been actioned for years and it has been a hard slog to get any work carried out. She had however received confirmation that there is a scheduled gully jetting for Pratts Lane and A435 in late November, early December and is flagged up now as a red list issue but the frustrating thing is that given assurances in January / February last year that communication and transparency would improve and residents would receive updates in a timely manner, that has not happened, which has caused a lot of frustration and although we can keep reporting things on the portal, sending chasing emails, but without feedback we are stuck knowing what we can do, even though she had been given assurance and a commitment from Ian Nicholls that the localities team would contact her regarding the outcome of the report, which has not happened.

Severn Trent manhole cover near Dog island: repaired.

Dropped curb near village hall to get to “Redditch” footpath: no news. Ongoing.

Road layout and signage on Dog island: Ongoing. Nothing soon.

“the possibility of introducing signing and lining changes to improve lane discipline and reduce driver confusion at the A435/A4189 Dog roundabout was considered following a site visit in February 2021. This was attended by colleagues in our Road Safety Engineering Team, Cllr Rickhards and Cllr Maureen Berry of Mapleborough Green Parish Council.

.....officers subsequently advised Cllr Rickhards that the proposals would not be taken forward as a feasibility assessment showed that although narrowing the carriageway widths on the A435 southbound and A4189 Henley Road approaches with solid line markings and hatchings would help to improve lane discipline, it would also reduce capacity and lead to a significant increase in queuing at the junction.

.....there is a need to improve [this] junction operation and we are therefore proposing to undertake further feasibility work this financial year by considering a wider range of options. These have yet to be developed in outline, but they could potentially include minor interventions such as improved signing, lining and markings on the A435 Northbound and A4189 Warwick Highway approaches (as previously mooted), remodelling of the roundabout itself, or an alternative form of junction control such as traffic signals.

Each option considered would need to be tested for technical feasibility before recommending a preferred way forward. A business case would also need to be developed to secure the necessary funding should a substantive intervention be identified as the preferred scheme option.”

A discussion then took place as Cllr Berry raised the question of when the decision had been made to cut the project, and quoted an email confirming it was going to be done which was received on February 19th from Marcus Alford-Longley, Safety Engineer WCC. Cllr Berry agreed to forward the email to Cllr Kerridge.

Cllr Kerridge confirmed that he is speaking with the new Localities Officer, who has jumped on issues quickly considering he's only just started and got things done and reiterated again that everything needs to be reported on the Report It portal. The Clerk, through the Chair, queried historical reports that had been submitted on the previous system Fix my Street and asked why those outstanding issues had not been transferred automatically to the new system. Cllr Kerridge stated that they would have to be manually input again by someone and that some of the reports have been crossed over. Cllr Kerridge also suggested that everything goes through the Parish Council, so there is one point of contact, although individuals can be contacted and they should respond. Cllr Kerridge confirmed that there was nothing listed on the portal for Pratts Lane or Orchard Place but he will take on board and report back points raised from this meeting.

Orchard place flooding: no action taken sorry. On the “report it” system?

Common lane flooding: No action taken. No information on the “report it” system. Locations officer Dylan White unaware of details.

Road surface repair quality Abbeyfields drive: reported on to delivery team as information on contractors performance.

Automated Number plate recognition (ANPR) system to prevent HGV’s from Redditch gateway site using A345 through Mapleborough and Studley: On target according to Developers at recent Steering group meeting

“all cameras have been installed and will be operational as from 16th Sept, ready in time for the first operation of the Amazon building and they are testing the operation until the unit opens fully.

With respect to the southern site – there will be more cameras installed, camera at the two entrances to the northern building to make sure that occupier is recorded, another camera at the gatehouse to the southern unit to catch HGVs leaving that unit. All three cameras would be amalgamated with the existing strategy ”

30mph speed limit request: Following the response to the PC indicating that 30mph is inappropriate in Mapleborough Green I have raised this with Highways officers and will begin discussions on this next week. I think it's a good idea and there is a good case to be made all the way from the Gorcott Hill dual carriageway to beyond the Boot pub. No promises, except to try.

Pedestrian crossing safety at Washford turning: This has been raised to me by a resident. It is a recurring concern and we have never been able to resolve it. With a renewed focus at County Council on the A435 and the major road network around Studley and Redditch it is timely for me to raise this junction with officers as an important consideration. Again, no promises but this is a good time to try.

Cycle safety on new road layout at bottom of Gorcott Hill:

Cllr Berry gave an overview of the change in road layout to those present. Cllr Berry also stated that she has tried to raise the issue with Shirley Reynolds and the Gateway Steering Group (specifically Jo Archer) but was ‘shot down’. Cllr Berry stressed that it is a fatality waiting to happen and its been nearly 3 weeks since it was raised but nothing has changed.

Cycle provision on A435 and surrounding area:

Cycle safety on new road layout at bottom of Gorcott Hill: Raised by Cllr Berry. Stage 3 road Safety audit to be carried out and feedback from officers expected. Ongoing.

1. New road layout causes cyclists to be positioned between 2 lanes of traffic.
2. Feeder lane starts too late causing vehicles to slow down trying to merge with slower traffic in onward A435 lane and it is a potential crash hazard.

This has now been raised with various Highways departments (cycle, planning, safety, design and delivery) as well as road safety and cycle officers and I expect feed back to me week beginning 20th September.

Cycle provision on A435 and surrounding area: Study proposed. Ongoing. Ideas welcome.

WCC is now much more cycle positive and has funds from government. These are currently focused on a bang for bucks approach so larger towns etc are getting the lions share. To be fair this is reasonable. I am pushing for our area of course.

A study brief for developing cycle improvement options in the Studley area is being prepared and WCC are proposing to commission Sustrans to undertake this work during the current financial year.

The A435 path is too narrow for an official cycle path so it's unlikely in near future.

I have spoken to Heart of England forest about the idea of a paved cycle route on their land.

I am on the Cycle promotion group at WCC and hoping to find a way to make cycling safer in more rural areas like ours.

Cllr Kerridge

Cllr Coley thanked Cllr Kerridge and Cllr Berry.

District Councillors report : Cllr Hencher-Serafin confirmed he had been speaking with Graham Stanley of Highways regards the crossing at The Washford Mill to Studley Cricket Club which is a mud bath and the lack of pedestrian crossing signs approaching the site, which are being considered and he will report on with an update.

Cllr Hencher-Serafin confirmed he had been liaising with Case Officer Louise Koleman regards the Stratford side of Mapleborough Woods development and she had stated that it will be a long process, with a lot of things to sort out and are a long way from any decision being made.

Cllr Hencher-Serafin stated that he had also been liaising with Gary Goodwin of Morris Homes, who claimed the development is likely to start in March.

7. Matters Arising from previous meeting(s), not otherwise dealt with (including):

- Update regards Parish Councillor Vacancy – Clerk confirmed this was ongoing and she would touchbase with interested resident.
- Update regards Highways issues including WCC reporting portal – discussed earlier on agenda
- Update regards PC dedicated emails and PC website – Cllr Chadwick and Coley confirmed that they will arrange access for the dedicated councillor emails. The website is ongoing regards updating.
- Update from Cllr Berry following meeting with Planning Officer, Louise Koleman on July 12th – Cllr Berry confirmed she met with Louise Koleman and raised concerns regards the paperwork submitted by Morris Homes, for example the reptile report which was carried out on Claybrook Drive through to the A435, which was questionable as Claybrook Drive is not where the site is. Also, various other things that Cllr Berry had sent via email to Louise which there had been no response from, and according to her the queries are forwarded to Morris Homes and it is them who are not replying.
Cllr Berry had also raised concern of how wet the land is and that the nursing home development is having to put in more drainage, however Louise admitted to not knowing the area of the woods is the same site, even though she had visited the site 3 times.

The Clerk also added that she had condensed Cllr Berry's emails to one and asked Louise Koleman for a reply, which has not been forthcoming and chase emails had been sent asking for a response, with no acknowledgement received. Cllr Hencher-Serafin agreed to chase this lack of response too.

- Update from Cllr Berry following Eastern Gateway Steering meeting on September 9th – Cllr Berry stated that this meeting wasn't productive but had confirmation that the two warehouses on the southern side are not as large as Amazon, but one is close in size and that one will be Oaklands International (Attwoods).
- Update regards 'Mapleborough Woods' proposed development and related issues including feedback from Planner, Gary Moss – the Clerk confirmed that following an investigative request from Cllr Berry, Gary Moss confirmed focussing on the strongest argument with an independent report could aid the argument against the development. The Clerk also added that the Queens Canopy (tree planting) information had been forwarded to the NHP group as a suggestive way to protect certain sites. Gary Moss agreed that schemes like this support the ideology that 'we' should be planting trees rather than destroying them and again this could be used as an argument regarding the proposed development. Cllr Berry stated that she believed the area of focus should be the bats on the site, as there are 8 protected species of bat in the woods, however on questioning the nursing home constructors regarding the planning condition that required installation of bat boxes, they knew nothing about it.
- Agree to appoint an Ecologist – The Clerk stated that she had been unsuccessful in finding an ecologist, although one had given a quote for a preliminary report of approximately £900 and will continue the search.
- To discuss previously agreed improvements to The Dog Island – as previously discussed in County Councillors report.
- Retrospective agreement for the naming of the care home as 'The Haywood' – all agreed the suggested name.

8. Items for Discussion

- Update regards Ankcorn House and related issues – The Clerk confirmed that the post-box is now in place and contacts will be notified of the change of postal address accordingly and she will check it every couple of weeks. The Clerk also stated that she had met with the Bookings Secretary who confirmed the availability of the room as a meeting venue has good availability. Cllr Coley confirmed he is still trying to make contact with the new Village Hall booking secretary regarding the Parish Council using the hall every two months as a meeting venue.
- To discuss Highways concerns and request for speed limit reduction – Cllr Kerridge spoke through the Chair following an enquiry both he and the Clerk had received from a concerned resident regarding the speed limit of the A435 at the bend with Orchard Place and Pratts Lane, which the Clerk had reported and received an unfavourable Highways reply, which Cllr Kerridge supports and has taken up and will continue to pursue. Cllr Kerridge added he has a meeting with the speed limit officer next week regarding the rest of the A435 South of Washford Mill to Alcester and he will raise concerns then and will update the Parish Council forward.
- To discuss lack of cycle provision along the A435 – previously discussed in County Councillors report
- To discuss future meeting dates – to be confirmed

9. To Consider Planning Applications and Enforcement Updates (*incl.any other plans received after agenda distribution*) and to note actions regards :

*Update regards Studley Sports Centre – Cllr Coley confirmed that the car park has been resurfaced and allocated lines painted.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

21/02574/FUL Gorcott Hill Park, Gorcott Hill, Beoley, B98 9EN The Heart of England Forest Limited
Change of use of agricultural building to an education facility, modification of access and associated works.
No representation

21/01579/FUL Jalna, Pratts Lane
Erection of oak framed garage
No representation

21/01947/VARY Hillcrest Farm, Pratts Lane
Vary planning permission 19/01041/FUL (date of decision 27/06/2019). Remove condition 8, holiday let restriction.
Original description: Conversion of existing covered parking structure to 1 x three-bed holiday let and alterations to parking provisions.
No representation

21/01803/VARY Land At Redditch Eastern Gateway Development Gorcott Hill Beoley
Vary condition 1 of planning permission 19/01545/REM (date of decision 15/10/2019), the end user as incorporated revised requirements to 2 areas of the site: 1 - Main Gatehouse - the original scheme had 2 inbound inspection lanes , this has been adapted to provide a single inbound inspection lane and an express lane without the inspection requirement - this has involved the slight narrowing of the vehicle lanes and the inclusion of a kerbed island between the inbound lanes (previously this was a hatched walkway area) - the island has a small security hut - subcontractors details and visual attached. 2 - provision of additional air handling equipment to the north side of the wc pod, and the truckers lounge (west side of the building) - the truckers lounge AHU has also entailed the relocation of the smoking shelter to the east. the above changes are minor in scale and are all within the site boundary of the building and yard as approved. Condition 1 revision of drwg 6290 101 rev U changed to rev Y
No representation

21/02434/FUL Chapel Farm Haye Lane Mapleborough Green Studley B80 7BU
Construction of 2.no detached 4-bedroom dwellings including parking, turning areas and landscaping at Chapel Farm, Haye Lane, Mapleborough Green, Studley, B80 7BU. (Resubmission of Application 20/02448/OUT in Detailed Format to Amend Red Line).
No representation

21/01519/FUL The Manor Haye Lane Mapleborough Green B80 7DS
Replacement of existing stable building with ancillary accommodation unit.

No representation

NOTICES RECEIVED

TPO/063/002 Jalna, Pratts Lane
Tree Preservation Order granted

TPO/063/003 White Oaks, Pratts Lane
Tree Preservation Order granted

21/01873/PIP Upper Skilts Farm, Gorcott Hill
Erection of up to 6no. dwellings
Refusal

21/00286/FUL Upper Skilts Farm, Gorcott Hill
Erection of single storey front extension as extension of existing kitchen and introduction of side access door
Refusal

21/00950/FUL Studley Sports Club Abbeyfields Drive
Relocation and replacement of existing stand
Permission with Conditions

21/00838/FUL Studley Football And Social Club Abbeyfields Drive Studley B80 7BF
Erection of new changing rooms and servery
Permission with Conditions

21/01994/ADV Unit 1, Redditch Gateway , Coventry Highway
2 no. freestanding 'monument' signs, displaying the Amazon logo, address and directions for movement around the site/building 3 no. building elevation signs, one displaying the 'halo' logo and two displaying 'Amazon' 3 no. building elevation signs, one displaying the 'halo' logo and two displaying 'Amazon'
Consent Granted with Conditions

APPEAL

APP/J3720/W/21/3267947 Dog Inn
The development is erection of 1x4m high column with automatic number plate recognition camera
Appeal Dismissed

***Cllr Hencher-Serafin through the Chair agreed to email the Enforcement Officer regards the appeal and removal of the columns and signage.

10.To note items of Correspondence (*incl.any other items received after agenda distribution*)

- Mapleborough Green District Church Council re.Gardening Group – Clerk gave an overview of the gardening club and request for volunteers and possible future financial assistance and confirmed that notices had been displayed on the noticeboards and website
- WCC Queens Green Canopy – Clerk gave an overview, as previously mentioned, regards the Queens Jubilee next year and that 'we' are being encouraged to plant a tree (s) and can purchase a plaque. A discussion ensued regards potential sites and Stratford District Councils pilot scheme of every tree knocked down you plant a replacement and the history of the Mapleborough Woods plantation. Following the discussion, it was agreed that Cllr Hencher-Serafin would make a request to SDC regards planting a number of the trees on the area within Mapleborough Woods that is to remain an open space (behind the nursing home).
- SDC Have Your Say on Draft Recommendations for Stratford-on-Avon – Cllr Berry agreed to forward the details to resident Ann Bicknell for referral to the merger consultation.

- South Warwickshire Council Public Consultation – it was agreed previously that the Parish Council would consider all current consultations and make representations through the Clerk.
- SDC – opportunity to acquire mobile skate park – no further action
- Victim Support request – no further action
- Royal British Legion – Preparation for Remembrance – no further action

11.Approval of Accounts

- Approve statement balance £16,297.07 proposed by Cllr Chadwick, seconded by Cllr Badwal, all agreed.
- To agree additional UTB signatory/ies – briefly discussed and all agreed to discuss at next meeting
- To agree PC contact for NEST – it was agreed that Cllr and Chair Coley would replace Alex Cameron as correspondent for NEST.

12.Any work around the Parish

- Outstanding highways issues

13.Items for Future Agenda

- Matters not dealt with

14.To Note Date of Next Meeting, time and place: Parish Council meeting on t.b.a

Meeting closed at 8:30pm

Date	Details	Payments	Receipts	Balance
3 JUN 21	Balance brought forward			19,613.95 *
4 JUN 21	WARWICKSHIRE AND W		18.00	19,631.95 *
9 JUN 21	Direct Debit (LLOYDS BANK PLC)	94.38		19,537.57 *
18 JUN 21	123REG LIMITED		71.99	19,609.56 *
28 JUN 21	B/P to: R Howes	555.29		
28 JUN 21	B/P to: R Howes	81.46		
28 JUN 21	B/P to: MSC Planning	1,200.00		
28 JUN 21	B/P to: D J Bennett	168.00		17,604.81 *
30 JUN 21	Direct Debit (NEST)	65.15		
30 JUN 21	B/P to: HMRC	7.80		
30 JUN 21	Service Charge	18.00		17,513.86 *
	Balance carried forward			17,513.86 *
Date	Details	Payments	Receipts	Balance
30 JUN 21	Balance brought forward			17,513.86 *
12 JUL 21	Direct Debit (LLOYDS BANK PLC)	78.39		17,435.47 *
26 JUL 21	B/P to: Bill Robinson	184.80		
26 JUL 21	B/P to: R Howes	636.98		
26 JUL 21	B/P to: R Howes	58.68		
26 JUL 21	B/P to: D J Bennett	118.00		16,437.01 *
28 JUL 21	B/P to: HMRC	28.00		16,409.01 *
29 JUL 21	Direct Debit (NEST)	76.94		16,332.07 *
30 JUL 21	Direct Debit (ICO)	35.00		16,297.07 *
	Balance carried forward			16,297.07 *

You can ask us to send you details of our rates and how we work them out.
 Abbreviations: * credit balance DR overdrawn S Sub total (intermediate balance)

Registered Office: Unity Trust Bank plc, Four Brindleyplace, Birmingham B1 2JB. Registered in England and Wales number 1713124

10664-02

Signed Cllr Berry

January 10th 2022